

1 McGREGOR W. SCOTT  
United States Attorney  
2 MICHAEL W. REDDING  
Assistant United States Attorney  
3 501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
4 Telephone: (916) 554-2700  
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900  
5  
6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America  
7  
8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
11 Plaintiff,  
12 v.  
13 FAYTH SHAMARIAH JONES, DONALD  
CONFERLETE CARNEY, AND JONTE  
DEON SCOTT,  
15 Defendants.  
16

CASE NO. 2:20-CR-32-WBS  
FINDINGS AND ORDER RE: EXCLUSION OF  
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

17  
18 FINDINGS AND ORDER  
19

20 This proposed findings and order memorializes the findings and order the Court made during the  
Status Conference on January 19, 2021. All appearances were made virtually; defendants have waivers  
21 of personal appearance on file. Mr. Scott made an appearance with his counsel, Mr. John Garcia, and  
Ms. Jones made an appearance with her counsel, Mr. Jared Thompson. For health reasons Mr. Carney  
22 was unable to appear. His retained counsel – Mr. Bill McPike – was also unable to attend remotely due  
23 to connection issues. Mr. Garcia appeared on behalf of Mr. McPike, for Mr. Carney.  
24

25 Given Mr. McPike and Mr. Carney's absence and the lack of information about how they wanted  
26 to proceed, the parties agreed to put the matter over one week, to January 25, 2021, at 10:30 a.m. The  
27 government represented that it had filed a stipulation regarding a protective order pertaining to further  
discovery and the Court had signed it. The government indicated this discovery would be forthcoming.  
28

Given the forthcoming discovery and the absence of Mr. McPike and Mr. Carney, and the agreement of all parties that this matter should go over for one week to allow Mr. McPike to appear, the Government moved to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act between January 19, 2021 and January 25, 2021 for continuity of counsel and to allow for the effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Defense counsel for all defendants agreed with the Government that there was a sufficient basis to exclude time between these dates to allow for their effective preparation. Furthermore, the Court agreed there was good cause for the finding as to Mr. Carney, for continuity of counsel. Given the finding of good cause for continuity of counsel as to Mr. Carney, there was a basis to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act as to Mr. Scott and Ms. Jones under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6), which states that time shall be excluded for “[a] reasonable period of delay when the defendant is joined for trial with a codefendant as to whom the time for trial has not run and no motion for severance has been granted.”

Having heard and considered the motion and evidence, the Court hereby finds that the reasons laid out in the government’s oral motion demonstrate sufficient facts that provide good cause for a finding of excludable time as to all defendants pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h).

Specifically, the Court finds that the government’s oral motion to exclude time as to all defendants demonstrates good cause to exclude time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) for effective preparation as to all defendants and continuity of counsel as to Mr. Carney.

The Court further finds that there is a separate basis to exclude time as to Mr. Scott and Ms. Jones pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(6).

Finally, the Court finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; and (ii) failure to grant the continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.

Time is hereby excluded under the Speedy Trial Act between January 19, 2021 and January 25, 2021, inclusive.

Dated: January 21, 2021

  
WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE